

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,356	01/22/2002	Guido Baumoller	4002-1001-1	1033
466	7590 04/22/2003			
YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH 2 ARLINGTON	3RD STREET 2ND FLOO , VA 22202	· ·	FORTUNA, JOSE A	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	1/1
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

>

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/051,356 Applicant(s)

Baumoller et al.

Examiner

José A. Fortuna

Art Unit 1731



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply wit	hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will age. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, care 	ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Ise the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dat earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	e of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 12</u> ,	2003				
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action	ction is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>13-25</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio				
	is/are allowed.				
	is/are rejected.				
7) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>15, 18, and 20-25</u>	is/are objected to.				
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement				
Application Papers	·				
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re all accepted or bil objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a approved b disapproved by the Examine				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure	locuments have been received in this National Stage				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 13-14, 16-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b)/103(a) in view of WO 2000/04230 to Ansmann et al.. This rejection is set forth in the prior Office action paper number

7.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on January 30, 2003 and February 12, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the PIT emulsion of the reference is not a water in oil emulsion but a oil in water one. Applicants argue that it is evident that the water is the continuous phase, as oppose to the dispersed phase, due to the large amounts of water, percentage, with respect to the oil phase, percentage. Applicants go on and argue that the lower limit of the mount of water in the emulsion is the minimum theoretical water amount/percentage for an oil in water emulsion and that ranges well above the lower range are exemplified. The examiner respectfully disagrees, while the examiner is convinced that for the upper range the composition is an oil in water

Application/Control Number: 10/051,356

Art Unit: 1731

emulsion, for the lower range, i.e., in the 20s % the emulsion would revert to be a water in oil emulsion. The examiner contends that at the lower range the amount of water is too small to sustain a continuous phase, i.e., for the formation of an emulsion at the lower end the continuous phase need to be necessarily the oil and therefore, the emulsion is an water in oil emulsion. As to the arguments that the reference only shows water at the middle to upper range, this is no convincing because, an invention is not limited by its examples, but for it discloses to one of ordinary skill in the art. References are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA) 1969.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1731

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José Fortuna, whose telephone number is (703)305-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin, can be reached on (703)308-1164. The fax number for this group is (703)305-7115.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

When filing a FAX in group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

José A. Fortuna April 17, 2003

JOSÉ FORTUNA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1731